ORIGINAL



1

2

3

4

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

18

17

19

20

21 22

23

24

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CO

RECEIVED

BOB STUMP CHAIRMAN GARY PIERCE

COMMISSIONER

BRENDA BURNS

COMMISSIONER

BOB BURNS

COMMISSIONER

SUSAN BITTER SMITH

COMMISSIONER

2014 JUN 19 P 3:31

AL OBRP COMMISSION GOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JUN 19 2014

DOCKETED BY

MP

COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF REVIEW MEASURES PROPOSED IN SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION'S **ENERGY** EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGY IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.

Docket No. G-01551A-13-0170

RUCO'S COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO STAFF'S MEMORANDUM

The Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") submits the following comments in response to Staff's May 30, 2014, memorandum regarding the Cost-Effectiveness Review Of Measures Proposed In Southwest Gas Corporation's ("Company") Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Technology Implementation Plan.

RUCO is concerned about the lack of support for measures that have been found to be cost effective but were recently canceled in Decision No. 74300. Not supporting these measures limits the savings residential ratepayers will realize from energy efficiency (EE) and could restrict the ability of EE programs to respond to the changing needs of residential ratepayers, the market, and geographic areas.

RUCO recommends that the Commission enhance the plan's flexibility. Specifically, RUCO recommends that the Commission:

1) Approve the measures identified to be cost-effective in the Company's filing. Decision No. 74300 canceled programs due to an incomplete data set that gave the illusion of a mass failing of cost effectiveness. Now with more data, Staff was able to review these measures and find that many of them are indeed cost effective. In fact, several were found to have very high cost-benefit ratios. RUCO hopes that the Commission will now allow the Company to offer ratepayers these cost effective measures. This is especially relevant to the Company's Commercial Rebates program which had several very high scoring measures.

2) Approve a reasonable budget to support the implementation of these cost effective measures. Southwest Gas' underlying application for approval of its Plan Years 3 and 4 EE and RET Plan sought annual budgets of \$7.5 million for Plan Year 3 and \$6 million for Plan Year 4. However, in Decision No. 74300 the Commission canceled several programs and only allowed a \$4.7 million budget. RUCO views this as unfairly "hamstringing" the Company from meeting their energy efficiency goals. Therefore, RUCO recommends that the Commission provide Southwest Gas with a reasonable budget to get the company back on track.

In sum, RUCO would like to stress the fact that Staff's Report confirms the overall cost-effectiveness of measures that were canceled prematurely in Decision No. 74300. Cost effective programs and measures should be made available to customers. In this spirit, RUCO asks the Commission to not only approve these measure and programs for use but to also provide a reasonable budget to support their dissemination. Finally, RUCO supports Southwest Gas in the utilization of the source-site ratio multiplier to accurately capture energy savings.

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of June, 2014.	
2		
3		
4		Daniel W Pozefsky
5	11	Chief Counsel
6		
7 8	of the foregoing filed this 19 th day	
9		
10	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
11	COPIES of the foregoing hand delivered/	
12		
13	Lyn Farmer, Chief Administrative Law Judge	Debra Gallo Southwest Gas Corporation
14	11	P.O. Box 98510 Las Vegas, Nevada 89193
15	41	
16	\$ 1	
17	· ·	By Chern Frauloh
18	- 11	Cheryl Kraulob
19		
20	[1	
21		
22		
23	l I	
24	P.O. Box 98510 Las Vegas, Nevada 89193	